

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/841,644	04/30/1997	SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI	0756-1603	3399
7590 10/03/2005			EXAMINER .	
Eric J. Robinson			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
Robinson Intell	ectual Property Law Offic	e		
21010 Southbank Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PMB 955			2813	
Potomac Falls, VA 20165			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/841,644	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura M. Schillinger	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	a) and the appropriate extension fee have The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	educing or simplifying the issues for				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 23-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	□ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	wit or other evidence is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessand. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented. So on of the status of the claims after	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). entry is below or attached.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
	modelel	Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2813				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) ٠,

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is expected to tailor arguments to Applicant's currently pending Application- arguments submitted for an interference proceding for a divisional do not constitute proper prosecution of the current Application and fail to specifically identify where the missing elements are in the current application- moreover, Applicant must provide a filing receipt showing the prior submission of the IDS form to have it considered.